

INDUSTRIAL EMISSIONS DIRECTIVE (integrated pollution prevention and control) POSITION

The proposal for a Directive on Industrial emissions matters to the waste management sector in particular because nearly all its activities are covered (biological treatment, incineration, storage and treatment of hazardous wastes). Many amendments raise our concerns:

1 EUROPEAN SAFETY NET (ESN):

The ESN concept, as it appears in some proposed amendments, remains too vague and unclear. We question a new system that seems us to be in contradiction with the Waste Incineration Directive (WID) that currently lays down very stringent Emission Limit Values (ELVs). What will be the minimum requirements of ESN? Maximum ELVs only? Other requirements? How will these requirements be elaborated and revised? Will they modify the existing stringent ELVs of the WID? A set of “super ceilings”, as the ESN appears, must in any case NEITHER end in automatically reducing ELVs, NOR making it impossible to comply with in operational situations that are clearly regulated in the WID. We ask at least for the ESN not to impact the WID system. Due to a lack of clarity of the ESN concept so far, we call for rejection of tabled amendments. A satisfactory clarification would be essential to envisage supporting the ESN.

YES: 66, 90, 95, 96, 193, 195, 201, and 202.

NO: 147, 17, 148, 22, 210, 211, 24, and 533.

2 INTEGRATED APPROACH:

The integrated approach principle of the Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control (IPPC) Directive needs to be safeguarded. The corner stone of the IPPC Directive is the use of Best Available Techniques (BATs) for delivery and update of permits, in order to take into account overall protection of the environment, technical feasibilities, local conditions, cost-effectiveness and cross-effects medias on the environment. It implies arbitrations between emissions levels and other parameters. Only this type of arbitration allows optimising environmental protection with regard to objectives that can be difficult to conciliate: use of natural resources, emissions of pollutants. A method exclusively based on unique limit values with exemptions would block up the integrated approach. The elaboration of BAT reference documents (BREFs) should remain under the Sevilla process, with some improvements. We clearly oppose to legally binding status for BREFs which have to remain information and reference documents.

The integrated approach needs a case-by-case analysis; for each installation a permit is the result of a trade-off between the criteria given in Annex VI, taking into account costs and benefits under the local conditions.

YES: 66, 94, 6, 115, 11, 153, 155 1a) & 1b), 156 1a) & 1b), 158, 159, 163, 176, 182, 183, 184, 186, 193, 195, 201, 202, 25, 225, 226, 26, 231, 27, and 28.

NO: 59, 154, 155 1c), 156 1c), 172, 177, 178, 179, 19, 20, 185, 188, 189, 190, 191, 21, 192, 22, 196 to 200, 203, 210, 211, 24, 224, 240, and 306.

④ **NO CONFUSION BETWEEN ELVs and BAT-AELs:**

ELVs and BAT-AELs are of different nature. The wording such as “ELVs do not exceed BATAELs” must definitely be rejected.

YES: 186,193,195.

④ **BUILDING ON THE SUCCESS OF THE WASTE INCINERATION DIRECTIVE (WID):**

We consider the implementation of the WID as a success and ask therefore that this text be integrated into the new Industrial Emissions Directive without substantial changes. The integration of the WID into the new proposal should not affect the material content of the current WID. Measures adopted in the WID for particular situations (start-ups, incidents) have to be maintained.

YES: 78, 79, 80, 81, 10, 108, 109, 110, 12, 311, 312, 333, 392 to 394, 496, 507 to 510, 513 to 516, 519, and 524 to 526.

NO: 335 to 344, 349, 350, 370, 391, 395 to 405, 477 to 495, 497 to 506, 511, 512, 517, 518, 520 to 523, and 527.

④ **KEEPING AN EFFECTIVE AND HARMONISED MONITORING AND CONTROLLING SYSTEM:**

The waste management sector is particularly attached to an efficient monitoring and controlling system by national authorities. “Better regulation” does not mean that Member States weaken their control tools. They are the only guarantee for the protection of the environment and the level playing field.

YES: 1, 2, 72, 14, 214, 218, 219, 248, 254, 32, 270, 276, 283, 36, 37, 286, 38, 289, 293, 294, 295, 298, 299, 345, and 347.

NO: 70, 124, 13, 125 to 129, 216, 217, 29, 247, 255 to 269, 34, 272, 275, 277, 279, 280, 282, 284, 285, 287, 288, 39, 292, 40, 296, 297, 300 to 303, 345, 347, and 48.

④ **MISCELLANEOUS:**

YES: 3, 119, 30, 50, and 56.

NO: 97, and 245.

VOTING RECOMMENDATION

Amendment n°: Author	Reference	Issue	FNADE	FNADE : Comments
59 : Musacchio	Recital 2 a (new)	More stringent ELVs	-	
60 : Krupa	Recital 3	Control of emissions into land instead of soil		No comment
61 : Hegyi	Recital 5	Minimisation of the use of organic solvents		No comment
62 : Wijkman et al.	Recital 7	Deletion of the exclusion of an emission limit value for the emissions of greenhouse gases	-	
63 : Turmes	Recital 7	Deletion of the exclusion of an emission limit value for the emissions of greenhouse gases	-	
64 : Krupa	Recital 9	Requirements to protect health and land surface		No comment
65 : Hegyi	Recital 9	Inclusion of a list of dangerous substances in the permit	-	
66 : Grossetête	Recital 11	ELVs in specific circumstances	++	Best choice for ELVs or equivalent parameters or technical measures resulting in emission levels that may exceed the BAT-AELs
67 : Weisgerber	Recital 11	Deletion of derogations	+	Preference for amdt n° 66
68 : Hegyi	Recital 11	No derogations needed for ELVs below BAT-AELs	-	
69 : Klass	Recital 14	Deletion of the spreading of livestock manure and slurry		No comment
1 : KRAHMER	Recital 15	Update of the permit when necessary	+	
2 : KRAHMER	Recital 16	Monitoring of soil and groundwater where appropriate	+	
70 : Weisgerber	Recital 16	Deletion of the inclusion of the monitoring of soil and groundwater	-	
71 : Prodi	Recital 16	Monitoring of soil and groundwater		No comment
72 : Hegyi	Recital 16	Remediation of the site where necessary	+	
73 : Krupa	Recital 16	Deterioration of the quality of the landscape	-	NO to the landscape

74 : Vernola et al.	Recital 17	Member States to determine enforcement regime		No comment
75 : Krupa	Recital 18	Reference to the Aarhus Convention		No comment
76 : Wijkman et al.	Recital 19 a (new)	CO₂ contributions of Large Combustion Plants	-	
77 : Musacchio	Recital 19 a (new)	Revision of dioxins equivalence factors and PCB-DL	--	NO
78 : Musacchio	Recital 22 a (new)	Co-incineration of waste and limitations of emissions	++	Very Important principle which is today in the existing the Waste Incineration Directive
79 : Turmes	Recital 22 a (new)	Co-incineration of waste and limitations of emissions	++	Very Important principle which is today in the existing the Waste Incineration Directive
80 : Blokland	Recital 22 a (new)	Co-incineration of waste and limitations of emissions	++	Very Important principle which is today in the existing the Waste Incineration Directive
81 : Ferreira	Recital 23 a (new)	Co-incineration of waste and limitations of emissions	++	Very Important principle which is today in the existing the Waste Incineration Directive
82 : Krupa	Recital 24	Installations TiO₂ - Toxicological threat		No comment
3 : KRAHMER	Recital 26	Deletion of power conferred on the Commission	++	
83 : Krupa	Recital 27	Polluter pays principle		No comment
84 : Krupa	Article 1	Scope – Public health protection first	--	It is very important that the 1st objective remains IPPC. Public health can be mentioned in the 2nd § but not in the 1st § which would confuse everything.
85 : Blokland	Article 1 a (new)	Scope	+	
86 : Musacchio	Article 2 – point 2	Scope - Exclusion of research activities		Good idea but not well written
87 : Klass	Article 3 – point 2	Definition "pollution" – Deletion of interference with uses of environment	+	
88 : Blokland	Article 3 – point 3 a (new)	New definition “competent authority”		No comment / +
89 : Vernola et al.	Article 3 – point 5 a (new)	New definition BAT-AELs		Preference for amdt n° 115
90 : Krahmer	Article 3 – paragraph 5 a (new)	Addendum to the BAT-AELs definition	+	Second choice for the definition "BAT-AELs", Preference for amdt n° 115

91 : Seeber	Article 3 – point 5 a (new)	New definition BAT-AELs	+	Second choice for the definition "BAT-AELs", Preference for amdt n° 115
92 : Musacchio	Article 3 – point 7	Definition "permit" – One permit for one or more installations	-	NO to one permit for many installations
4 : KRAHMER	Article 3 - point 7 a (new)	Definition "change in operation"		No comment
5 : KRAHMER	Article 3 - point 8	Definition "substantial change"		No comment
93 : Musacchio	Article 3 – point 8 – subpoint 1 a (new)	Definition "substantial change" – Changes to, or extensions of, an installation	-	
94 : Weisgerber et al	Article 3 – paragraph 9 – subparagraph 1 a (new)	Definition BATs and criteria of Annex III	++	And if possible add to this, that Annex III is a non-exhaustive list in order to possibly insert other criteria for determining BATs, Idem amdt n° 6
95 : Hegyi	Article 3 – point 9 a (new)	New definition BAT-AELs	+	Third choice for the definition "BAT-AELs", Preference for amdt n° 115, then amdt n° 91
96 : Blokland	Article 3 – point 9 a (new)	New definition BAT-AELs	+	Third choice for the definition "BAT-AELs", Preference for amdt n° 115, then amdt n° 91
6 : KRAHMER	Article 3 - point 9 - letter c a (new)	Determination of BATs	++	And if possible add to this, that Annex III is a non-exhaustive list in order to possibly insert other criteria for determining BATs, Idem amdt n° 94
97 : Brepoels	Article 3 – point 10	Definition "operator" - Two or more natural or legal persons	--	NO to joint legal responsibility of operators
7 : KRAHMER	Article 3 - point 12	Definition "the public concerned"		No comment / -
8 : KRAHMER	Article 3 - point 13	Definition "emerging technique"	-	Neither the definition by the Commission nor this amended definition does correspond to what is said in the BREFs to be an "emerging technique". See amdt n° 98.
98 : Weisgerber et al.	Article 3 – point 13	Definition "emerging technique" industrially proven	+ ?	This wording is more restrictive and may avoid abusive promotion of "emerging techniques".

99 : Hegyi	Article 3 – point 13	Definition “emerging technique”	-	Neither the definition by the Commission nor this amended definition does correspond to what is said in the BREFs to be an "emerging technique". See amdt n° 98.
9 : KRAHMER	Article 3 – point 15	Deletion of the definition “baseline report”		No comment
100 : Musacchio	Article 3 – point 15	Definition “baseline report”		No comment
101 : Turmes	Article 3 – point 15	Definition “baseline report”		No comment
102 : Prodi	Article 3 – point 15	Definition “baseline report”		No comment
103 : Hegyi	Article 3 – point 15	Definition “baseline report”		No comment
104 : Brepoels	Article 3 – point 15	Definition “baseline report”		No comment
105 : Brepoels	Article 3 – point 17 a (new)	New definition “environmental inspection”		No comment
106 : Weisgerber	Article 3 – point 20	Right definition of “biomass” instead of the list of exclusions of the incineration directive which has been moved to the general definitions by mistake.		It is essential to have a biomass definition consistent with the Renewable Energy Sources Directive, but exclusions (hazardous wastes / SRF from biowaste) must be re-introduced in Chapter IV on incineration (see attached note on biomass)
107 : Busk	Article 3 – point 20 – letter v a (new)	Inclusion of animal waste in the biomass definition		No comment
10 : KRAHMER	Article 3 – point 26	Definition “waste incineration plant” including pyrolysis, gasification and plasma processes	+	
108 : Blokland	Article 3 – point 26	Definition “waste incineration plant” including pyrolysis, gasification and plasma processes	+	
109 : Scheele	Article 3 – point 26	Definition “waste incineration plant” including pyrolysis, gasification and plasma processes	+	
110 : Scheele	Article 3 – point 27	Definition “waste incineration plant” including pyrolysis, gasification and plasma processes	+	

111 : Blokland	Article 3 – point 30	Definition “residue”	-	Other industries than incineration and co-incineration should generate residues when equipped with flue gas cleaning systems
112 : Sartori	Article 3 – point 31	Deletion of the “organic compound” definition		No comment
113 : Sartori	Article 3 – point 32	Deletion of the “volatile organic compound” definition		No comment
114 : Sartori	Article 3 – point 33	Deletion of the “organic solvent” definition		No comment
115 : Vernola et al.	Article 3 – point 34 a (new)	New definition BAT-AELs	++	Best choice for the definition "BAT-AELs". Better than amdots n° 90, 91, 95 and 96.
116 : Brepoels	Article 3 – point 34 a (new)	New definition “general binding rules”		No comment / -
117 : Sartori	Article 3 – point 34 a (new)	New definition “installations for emergency use”		No comment
118 : Musacchio	Article 4 – paragraph 2	Permit – One permit for one or more installations	-	NO to one permit for many installations
11 : KRAHMER	Article 4 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1	One permit for one or more installations	++	Best choice for one permit per installation
119 : Brepoels	Article 4 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1	One permit for one or more installations	+	Second choice for one permit per installation, Preference for amdot n° 11
120 : Turmes	Article 4 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2	Individual obligation to hold a permit	+	YES to one permit per installation
121 : Sartori	Article 4 – paragraph 2 a (new)	Exclusion of the obligation to hold a permit to installations for emergency use		No comment
12 : KRAHMER	Article 5	Operation of waste incineration plant	++	Best choice for the responsibility of operation of a waste incineration plant
122 : Brepoels	Article 5	Deletion of two or more natural or legal persons		No comment, Preference for amdot n° 12
123 : Weisgerber	Article 6 – paragraph 1	Granting of a permit – Permit containing conditions instead of installation complying	+	For new plants, the permit is issued before the installation exists
124 : Blokland	Article 8	Reporting on compliance with the permit conditions every 36 months instead of 12 or upon request	-	NO to provide a report on compliance upon request. 12 month is OK
13 : KRAHMER	Article 8 – point 1	Submission of reports on compliance with the permit conditions every 36 months instead of 12	-	NO to submit report on compliance every 36 months. 12 months is OK

125 : van Nistelrooij	Article 8 – point 1	Deletion of the report on compliance with the permit conditions	-	
126 : Hegyi	Article 8 – point 1	Extension of the frequency of the report on compliance with the permit conditions	-	NO to extend the frequency of the report on compliance
127 : Seeber	Article 8 – point 1	Exclusion of the report on compliance for waste incineration and waste co-incineration plants < 2t/h	-	
128 : Brepoels	Article 8 – point 1	Report on compliance with the permit conditions kept available for the competent authority	-	
129 : Laperrouze	Article 8 – point 1	Report on compliance with the permit conditions every 10 years	-	
130 : Krupa	Article 8 – point 2	Human health		No comment
131 : Brepoels	Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point b	Non-compliance – Measures necessary to ensure that compliance is restored		No comment
14 : KRAHMER	Article 9 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2	Measures in cases of a breach causing immediate danger	++	YES to measures in cases of a breach causing immediate danger
132 : Turmes	Article 10	Deletion of Article 10 Emissions of greenhouse gases	-	
133 : Wijkman et al.	Article 10	Deletion of Article 10 Emissions of greenhouse gases	-	
134 : Blokland	Article 10 a (new)	New article Emission trading systems	-	
135 : Sartori	Article 12 – point 2	Emission levels to correspond to what can be achieved with BATs instead of BATs to be applied	+	
15 : KRAHMER	Article 12 – point 8	Definitive cessation of activities		No comment
136 : Prodi	Article 12 – point 8	General principles governing the basic obligations of the operator – Cessation of activities		No comment
137 : Krupa	Article 13 – paragraph 1 – letter d	Applications for permits – Environmental elements in the area in which the installation is located		No comment
138 : Vernola	Article 13 – paragraph 1 – letter e	Applications for permits – Deletion of the baseline report		- / No comment

139 : Turmes	Article 13 – paragraph 1 – letter e	Applications for permits – Baseline report		- / No comment
140 : Musacchio	Article 13 – paragraph 1 – letter e	Applications for permits – Baseline report		- / No comment
141 : Hegyi	Article 13 – paragraph 1 – letter e a (new)	Applications for permits – Inclusion of amounts of the dangerous substances	-	NO to include the amount of the dangerous substances in permits
142 : Sturdy	Article 13 – paragraph 1 – letter h	Applications for permits – Prevention and recovery of waste where necessary		No comment
143 : Myller	Article 13 – paragraph 1 – letter j a (new)	Applications for permits – Comparison of the installation’s operation		No comment
16 : KRAHMER	Article 13 – paragraph 1 – letter k	Alternatives where appropriate	+	It must not be mandatory to study alternatives if not useful
144 : Weisgerber et al.	Article 14 – title	New title “BAT reference documents and minimum requirements”	-	Needs clarification of ESN: the amendment may be OK if ESN is satisfactorily clarified
145 : Krahmer	Article 14 – title	New title “BAT reference documents and minimum requirements”	-	Needs clarification of ESN: the amendment may be OK if ESN is satisfactorily clarified
146 : Brepoels	Article 14 – title	New title “BAT reference documents and exchange of information”	-	
147 : Hegyi	Article 14 – title	New title “BAT reference documents and exchange of information”	-	
17 : KRAHMER	Article 14	Measures limiting emissions	-	Needs clarification of ESN: the amendment may be OK if ESN is satisfactorily clarified
148 : Krahmer	Article 14 – paragraph 1	Measures limiting emissions	-	Needs clarification of ESN: the amendment may be OK if ESN is satisfactorily clarified
149 : Brepoels	Article 14 – paragraph 1	BREFs – Exchange of information		-
150 : Hegyi	Article 14 – paragraph 1	BREFs – Exchange of information		Preference for amdt n° 153
151 : Vernola	Article 14 – paragraph 1	BREFs - Regular consultation with interest groups		Preference for amdt n° 153
152 : Jackson	Article 14 – paragraph 1	BREFs on the basis of IEF		Preference for amdt n° 153
153 : Weisgerber et al.	Article 14 – paragraph 1	BREFs – Outcome of information exchange	++	This corresponds to the existing "Sevilla process" which must be kept.

154 : Weisgerber et al.	Article 14 – paragraph 1 a (new)	BREFs - Proposals for measures to limit emissions, monitoring and compliance requirements	-	Needs clarification of ESN: the amendment may be OK if ESN is satisfactorily clarified
155 : Brepoels	Article 14 – paragraph 1 a (new)	BREFs – New list of matters	+ to 1a) and 1b); - to 1c)	1a) and 1b) : YES to the new list of matters; 1c) : NO without giving special consideration to the criteria listed in Annex III
156 : Brepoels	Article 14 – paragraph 2	BREFs and criteria of Annex III	+ to 1a) and 1b); - to 1c)	1a) and 1b) : YES to the new list of matters; 1c) : NO without giving special consideration to the criteria listed in Annex III
157 : Hegyi	Article 14 – paragraph 2	BREFs – Official languages only for the Chapter on BATs	-	The whole BREFs must be translated, Preference for amdt n° 26
158 : Vernola	Article 14 – paragraph 2	BREFs update at least every 10 years – Definitive cessation of the installation activities	+	Second choice, Preference for amdt n° 163
159 : Weisgerber et al.	Article 14 – paragraph 2	Updates of BREFs	+	Preference for amdt n° 163
160 : Jackson	Article 14 – paragraph 2	BREFs - Proposals for measures to limit emissions, monitoring and compliance requirements	-	Preference for amdt n° 163
161 : Musacchio	Article 14 – paragraph 2	BREFs – Official languages only for the Chapter on BATs	-	Preference for amdt n° 163
162 : Turmes	Article 14 – paragraph 2	BREFs revision finalised 7 years after publication of the previous version + Official languages for the whole BREFs	-	Preference for amdt n° 163
163 : Grossetête	Article 14 – paragraph 2	Updates of BREFs	++	Best choice for the update of BREFs
164 : Seeber	Article 14 – paragraph 2 a (new)	BREFs - Proposals for measures to limit emissions, monitoring and compliance requirements		Maybe second choice after amdt n° 201, 202
165 : Sturdy	Article 15 – paragraph 1 – letter b	Permit conditions – Protection of soil and groundwater if necessary		No comment
166 : Krupa	Article 15 – paragraph 1 – letter b	Protection of the land		No comment
18 : KRAHMER	Article 15 – paragraph 1 – letter d	Deletion of periodic requirements		No comment

167 : Brepoels	Article 15 – paragraph 1 – letter d	Deletion of requirements of periodic monitoring in relation to dangerous substances		No comment
168 : Prodi	Article 15 – paragraph 1 – letter d	Permit conditions – Dangerous substances		No comment
169 : Hegyi	Article 15 – paragraph 1 – letter d	Permit conditions – Dangerous substances		No comment
170 : Krupa	Article 15 – paragraph 1 – letter d	Possibility of "land" contamination instead of soil		No comment
171 : Sartori	Article 15 – paragraph 1 – letter e	Permit conditions – Conditions other than normal operating conditions		No comment
172 : Musacchio	Article 15 – paragraph 1 – letter f a (new)	Permit conditions – Minimal energy requirements	-	BREFs do cover emissions, energy efficiency would be a supplementary constraint usually not compatible with the lowest emission
173 : Hegyi	Article 15 – paragraph 1 – letter f a (new)	Permit conditions – Efficient use of energy	-	BREFs do cover emissions, energy efficiency would be a supplementary constraint usually not compatible with the lowest emission
174 : Turmes	Article 15 – paragraph 1 – letter f a (new)	Permit conditions – Minimum energy requirements	-	BREFs do cover emissions, energy efficiency would be a supplementary constraint usually not compatible with the lowest emission
175 : Vernola	Article 15 – paragraph 1 – letter f a (new)	Permit conditions – Human health		No comment
176 : Weisgerber	Article 15 – paragraph 3	Permit conditions – Geographical location and the local environmental conditions	++	Essential
177 : Turmes	Article 15 – paragraph 3	BREFs to be BINDING. More stringent permit conditions	--	NO to qualify BREFs as binding reference.
178 : Musacchio	Article 15 – paragraph 3	Permit conditions – BREFs to be BINDING. High level of protection of the environment	--	NO to qualify BREFs as binding reference
179 : Hegyi	Article 15 – paragraph 3	Stricter permit conditions	-	NO to stricter permit conditions
180 : Blokland	Article 15 – paragraph 3	Permit conditions – Integrated approach	+	
181 : Myller	Article 15 – paragraph 3	BREFs taken into account in setting the permit conditions	+	

182 : Sartori	Article 15 – paragraph 4	Permit conditions – Level of emission corresponding to the BATs	++	Realistic. The authority needs to discuss with the operator. Amdt n° 183 is similar.
183 : Grossetête	Article 15 – paragraph 4	Permit conditions – Level of emission corresponding to the BATs	++	Realistic. The authority needs to discuss with the operator. Amdt n° 182 is similar.
19 : KRAHMER	Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1	ELVs "normally" apply at the point where the emissions leave the plant	-	-
184 : Sturdy	Article 16 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1	ELVs - Technical characteristics of the installation	+	YES to take into account the geographical and location and the local environmental conditions
20 : KRAHMER	Article 16 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2	Technical characteristics, geographical location and local environmental conditions to lay down measures to limit emissions which do not exceed BAT-AELs	-	The wording "do not exceed BAT-AELs" is not realistic and therefore not acceptable.
185 : Weisgerber et al.	Article 16 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2	ELVs - Technical characteristics of the installation	-	The wording 'do not exceed BATAELs' is not realistic and therefore not acceptable.
186 : Grossetête	Article 16 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2	ELVs, equivalent parameters and technical measures	++	YES to set ELVs that are consistent with the interval of BAT-AELs and not confusing ELVs and BAT-AELs
187 : Myller	Article 16 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2	ELVs < minimum requirements laid down in Article 18	-	Needs clarification of ESN: the amendment may be OK if ESN is satisfactorily clarified
188 : Korhola	Article 16 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2	ELVs – Taking account of technical and economic factors	-	
189 : Turmes	Article 16 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2	ELVs < lower end of the BAT-AELs	--	NO to set ELVs that do not exceed the lower end of the BAT-AELs. Totally unrealistic.
190 : Musacchio	Article 16 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2	ELVs < lower end of the BAT-AELs	--	NO to set ELVs that do not exceed the lower end of the BAT-AELs. Totally unrealistic.
191 : Musacchio	Article 16 – paragraph 3	ELVs – Deletion of derogations	--	-

21 : KRAHMER	Article 16 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 1	ELVs – Resulting emission levels	--	NO to set ELVs in such a way that the resulting emission levels for the installation exceed the BAT-AELs is a derogation. ELVs must be systematically above BAT-AELs. This is not philosophy. It is just the reality of the 2 concepts: BAT-AELs and ELVs are of different nature.
192 : Turmes	Article 16 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 1	ELVs – Deletion of derogations	--	-
193 : Grossetête	Article 16 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 1	ELVs – Derogations	++	Unique good proposal for the setting of ELVs that correspond to the interval of BAT-AELs. This amendment is the only one which shows what really re ELVs and BAT-AELs are of different nature!
194 : Hegyi	Article 16 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 1	ELVs – Derogations exceptional cases	-	
22 : KRAHMER	Article 16 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 2	ELVs	-	
195 : Grossetête	Article 16 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 2	ELVs – Resulting emissions	+	YES to set ELVs that lead to operational values which are in the interval of BAT-AELs
196 : Korhola	Article 16 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 2	ELVs – Taking account of technical and economic factors	-	
197 : Seeber	Article 16 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 2	ELVs – Accordance with Article 14(3)	-	
533 : Olajos	Article 16 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 2	ELVs – Reasons for allowing emission levels deviating from BAT-AELs	+	Similar to amdts n° 201 and 202 which are preferred
198 : Hegyi	Article 16 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 2 a (new)	ELVs – Participation to the granting of the derogation	-	
199 : Seeber	Article 16 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 3	Deletion of criteria for the granting of the derogation	-	
200 : Korhola	Article 16 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 3	Deletion of criteria for the granting of the derogation	-	

201 : Grossetête	Article 16 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3	ELVs – Reasons for allowing emission levels deviating from BAT-AELs	+	YES to set ELVs that fit with the interval of BAT-AELs. (ELVs and BAT-AELs are of different nature).
202 : Krahmer	Article 16 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3	ELVs – Reasons for allowing emission levels deviating from BAT-AELs	+	YES to set ELVs that fit with the interval of BAT-AELs. (ELVs and BAT-AELs are of different nature).
203 : Hegyi	Article 16 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3	ELVs - Criteria for the granting of the derogation	-	
204 : Krahmer	Article 16 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 4	ELVs – Deletion of the regulatory procedure with scrutiny		No comment
205 : Seeber	Article 16 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 4	ELVs – Deletion of the regulatory procedure with scrutiny		No comment
206 : Grossetête	Article 16 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 4	ELVs – Deletion of the regulatory procedure with scrutiny		No comment
207 : Korhola	Article 16 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 4	ELVs – Deletion of the regulatory procedure with scrutiny		No comment
23 : KRAHMER	Article 16 – paragraph 4	Deletion of manure and slurry		No comment
208 : Brepoels	Article 16 – paragraph 5	ELVs – Extension of the period for temporary derogations for emerging techniques		No comment
209 : Sartori	Article 16 – paragraph 5	ELVs – Extension of the period for temporary derogations for emerging techniques		No comment
210 : Turmes	Article 16 – paragraph 5 (new)	ELVs - National Emissions Ceiling Directive	-	NO to ELVs below BAT-AELs
211 : Musacchio	Article 16 – paragraph 5 (new)	ELVs - National Emissions Ceiling Directive	-	NO to ELVs stricter than the BAT-AELs
212 : Brepoels	Article 17 – paragraph 1	Monitoring requirements		No comment
213 : Brepoels	Article 17 – paragraph 2	Deletion of the frequency of the periodic monitoring		No comment
214 : Sturdy	Article 17 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2	Monitoring requirements – Deletion of periodic monitoring	+	
215 : Hegyi	Article 17 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2	Monitoring requirements – Groundwater and soil		No comment
216 : Turmes	Article 17 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2	Monitoring requirements – Every year	-	

217 : Prodi	Article 17 – paragraph 2 subparagraph 2	Monitoring requirements – Groundwater and soil	-	
218 : Sturdy	Article 17 – paragraph 2 subparagraph 3	Monitoring requirements – Deletion of criteria for the determination of the frequency	+	
219 : Blokland	Article 17 – paragraph 2 subparagraph 3	Monitoring requirements – Deletion of criteria for the determination of the frequency	+	
220 : Hegyi	Article 17 – paragraph 2 subparagraph 3	Monitoring requirements – Criteria on the appraisal of the risks of contamination		No comment
221 : Blokland	Article 17 – paragraph 2 subparagraph 4	Monitoring requirements – Deletion of the regulatory procedure with scrutiny		No comment
222 : Turmes	Article 17 – paragraph 2 subparagraph 3	ELVs – Criteria for the determination of the frequency		No comment
223 : Krupa	Article 18 – paragraph 1	Resulting emission levels – Human health		No comment
24 : KRAHMER	Article 18 – paragraph 2 subparagraph 2	Resulting emission levels	-	NO to the wording "do not exceed the corresponding BAT-AELs" which is not realistic and therefore not acceptable.
25 : KRAHMER	Article 18 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 2	"Publication" of a new or updated BREF (instead of "adoption") and ref to Article 22.3	+	
224 : Mulder	Article 18 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 2	General binding rules – Consideration of investments cycles	-	
225 : Brepoels	Article 18 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 2	General binding rules – Taking account of the investment cycles of businesses	+	Second choice, Preference for amdt n° 226
226 : Hegyi	Article 18 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 2	General binding rules – Review and update where necessary	++	Best choice for the review and update of general binding rules where necessary
227 : Korhola	Article 18 – paragraph 3 subparagraph 2	General binding rules – for the new installations concerned	-	NO to update the general binding rules for only the new installations
228 : Myller	Article 18 a (new)	New Emission limit values in the Community	-	
229 : Blokland	Article 19 – paragraph 1 (new) a	Environmental quality standards – Costs and benefits	-	NO to stricter conditions than those achievable by the use of BAT-AELs
26 : KRAHMER	Article 20	Translation of BREFs	++	YES to the translation of BREFs in EU official languages
230 : Vernola	Article 20	Developments in BATs – Information of the public		No comment

231 : Seeber	Article 22 paragraph 1	– Reconsideration and updating of permit conditions by the competent authority – Every 10 years	++	Best choice for the reconsideration and updating of permit conditions by the competent authority. 10 years is what is implemented in some Member States. This seems reasonable and pragmatic.
232 : Korhola	Article 22 paragraph 3	– Deletion of the updates of the permit conditions	-	Preference for amdt n° 231
27 : KRAHMER	Article 22 paragraph 3 subparagraph 1	– Publication of a new or updated BREF	++	Best choice for taking into account the publication of a new or updated BREF (and not the adoption) as the starting point
233 : Sartori	Article 22 paragraph 3 subparagraph 1	– Reconsideration and updating of permit conditions by the competent authority – Consideration of investments cycles	-	Preference for amdt n° 231
234 : Mulder	Article 22 paragraph 3 subparagraph 1	– Reconsideration and updating of permit conditions by the competent authority – Consideration of investments cycles	-	Preference for amdt n° 231 or 27
235 : Turmes	Article 22 paragraph 3 subparagraph 1	– Reconsideration and updating of permit conditions by the competent authority – General binding rules	-	Preference for amdt n° 231 or 27
236 : van Nistelrooij	Article 22 paragraph 3 subparagraph 1	– Reconsideration and updating of permit conditions by the competent authority – Taking account of investment cycles	+	Preference for amdt n° 27
237 : Hegyi	Article 22 paragraph 3 subparagraph 1	– Reconsideration and updating of permit conditions by the competent authority – Where necessary	-	
238 : Musacchio	Article 22 paragraph 3 subparagraph 1	– Reconsideration and updating of permit conditions by the competent authority – Deadline within 2 years	-	
239 : Seeber	Article 22 paragraph 3 subparagraph 1	– Reconsideration and updating of permit conditions by the competent authority – Appropriate period of time		No comment
240 : Turmes	Article 22 paragraph 3 subparagraph 2	– Reconsideration and updating of permit conditions by the competent authority – Deletion of derogations	-	The justification is not understandable
241 : Musacchio	Article 22 paragraph 3 subparagraph 2	– Reconsideration and updating of permit conditions by the competent authority – Deletion of derogations	-	The justification is not understandable

242 : Seeber	Article 22 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2	Reconsideration and updating of permit conditions by the competent authority – Derogations		No comment
28 : KRAHMER	Article 22 – paragraph 4 – letter b	Significant changes in BATs not generating disproportionate costs	++	Best choice for not changing permits permanently
243 : Korhola	Article 22 – paragraph 4 – letter b	Reconsideration and updating of permit conditions by the competent authority – Significant reduction of emissions without unreasonable costs	+	Preference for amdt n° 28
244 : Hegyi	Article 22 – paragraph 4 – letter d a (new)	Reconsideration and updating of permit conditions by the competent authority – National Emission Ceilings Directive		No comment
245 : Weisgerber	Article 23	Deletion of Article 23 Site closure and remediation	-	This provision already exists in national laws
246 : Vernola	Article 23 – paragraph 1	Site closure and remediation – Precisions		No comment
29 : KRAHMER	Article 23 – paragraph 2	Deletion of the baseline report	-	The baseline report already exists
247 : Prodi	Article 23 – paragraph 2	Site closure and remediation – Dangerous substances	-	Too vague
248 : Hegyi	Article 23 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1	Site closure and remediation – Relevant dangerous substances	+	
30 : KRAHMER	Article 23 – paragraph 3	Soil and groundwater contamination	++	Best choice for the soil and groundwater contamination risk-based approach
249 : Scheele	Article 23 – paragraph 3	Obligation to leave the soil and groundwater in a satisfactory state	+	Preference for amdt n° 30
250 : Prodi	Article 23 – paragraph 3	Site closure and remediation – To avoid any risks to human health and the environment	+	Preference for amdt n° 30
251 : Vernola	Article 23 – paragraph 3	Site closure and remediation – Remediation of the damage	-	Preference for amdt n° 30
252 : Hegyi	Article 23 – paragraph 3	Site closure and remediation – Measurable pollution	-	Preference for amdt n° 30
253 : Brepoels	Article 23 – paragraph 3	Site closure and remediation – Measurable pollution by dangerous substances	-	Preference for amdt n° 30
31 : KRAHMER	Article 23 – paragraph 4	Deletion of the baseline report	-	NO to downgrade the protection of human health and the environment after a definitive cessation of activities

254 : van Nistelrooij	Article 24	Deletion of Article 24 Reporting on compliance on permit conditions to include a comparison with BATs and BAT-AELs	++	YES to delete this paragraph which makes a huge confusion between compliance with the permit conditions and check up with BREFs. Idem amdt n° 32.
255 : Brepoels	Article 24 – title	Deletion of Article 24 Reporting on compliance proposing to replace the title by a report on BATs	--	Very bad . See comment on amdt n° 254.
32 : KRAHMER	Article 24	Deletion of the comparison operation vs. BATs	++	Idem amdt n° 254
256 : Brepoels	Article 24	Report on the application of BAT and possible measures to reduce emissions	--	
257 : Vernola et al.	Article 24	Reporting on compliance – BAT-AELs	--	
258 : Hegyi	Article 24	Reporting on compliance – Report accesible on the Internet	-	
259 : Musacchio	Article 24	Reporting on compliance – Publicly available online	-	
260 : Turmes	Article 25 – paragraph 1	Inspections – 3 random and non-routine inspections per year	-	
261 : Musacchio	Article 25 – paragraph 1	Inspections – 3 random and non-routine inspections per year	-	
262 : Weisgerber et al.	Article 25 – paragraph 2	Inspections – Deletion of the inspection plan	-	NO to the deletion of the inspection plan
263 : Weisgerber et al.	Article 25 – paragraph 3	Inspections – Deletion of the inspection plan	-	NO to the deletion of criteria included in the inspection plan
264 : Blokland	Article 25 – paragraph 3	Inspections - Minimum criteria for environmental inspections	-	
265 : Blokland	Article 25 – paragraph 4	Inspections – Deletion of the inspection programmes	-	
33 : KRAHMER	Article 25 - Paragraph 4 - Subparagraph 2	Inspection programmes	-	
266 : Weisgerber et al.	Article 25 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2	Inspections – One site visit every twelve months	-	
267 : Vernola et al.	Article 25 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2	Inspections – One site visit every twelve months	-	
268 : Musacchio	Article 25 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2	Inspections – Minimum of one site visit per year	-	

269 : Turmes	Article 25 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2	Inspections – Minimum of one site visit per year	-	
270 : Hegyi	Article 25 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2	Inspections – One random site visit every four months if breach of permits conditions	+	
271 : Brepoels	Article 25 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2	Systematic appraisal of the potential environmental impact of the particular installations concerned		No comment
34 : KRAHMER	Article 25 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 3	Inspection programmes	-	NO to EMAS instead of inspections
272 : Weisgerber et al.	Article 25 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 3	Inspections – Deletion of criteria on the appraisal of the environmental risks	-	
273 : Brepoels	Article 25 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 3	Criteria on the appraisal of the potential environmental impact		No comment
35 : KRAHMER	Article 25 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 4	Results of the environmental review	-	NO to no inspections
274 : Weisgerber et al.	Article 25 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 4	Inspections – Deletion of the regulatory procedure with scrutiny		No comment
275 : Blokland	Article 25 – paragraph 5	Inspections – Deletion of routine inspections	-	
276 : Turmes	Article 25 – paragraph 6	Inspections – Non-routine and random inspections	++	Best choice for non-routine and random inspections
277 : Vernola et al.	Article 25 – paragraph 6	Inspections – Human health	-	Preference for amdt n° 276
278 : Hegyi	Article 25 – paragraph 6	Inspections – Qualified environmental complaints		No comment
279 : Blokland	Article 25 – paragraph 6	Inspections – Deletion of non-routine inspections	-	
280 : Weisgerber	Article 25 – paragraph 6	Inspections – Human health	-	
281 : Vernola	Article 25 – paragraph 6 a (new)	Inspections – Investigations		No comment
282 : Hegyi	Article 25 – paragraph 7 – subparagraph 2	Inspections – Report accessible on the Internet	-	
283 : Laperrouze	Article 25 – paragraph 7 – subparagraph 2	Inspections – Curative and preventive action plan	++	Best choice for curative and preventive action plan
284 : Blokland	Article 25 – paragraph 7	Inspections – Deletion of the report	-	

285 : Weisgerber	Article 25 – paragraph 7	Inspections – Deletion of the report	-	
36 : KRAHMER	Article 25 – paragraph 7 – subparagraph 2	Inspection reports	++	Best choice for inspection reports
37 : KRAHMER	Article 26 – paragraph 1 – letter d	Deletion of general binding rules	++	
286 : Brepoels	Article 26 – paragraph 1 – point d	Deletion of general binding rules	++	
287 : Hegyi	Article 26 – paragraph 1 – point d a (new)	Access to information and public participation in the permit procedure – Inclusion of granting of derogations	--	NO to the participation of the public in the granting of derogations in the permit procedure
288 : Hegyi	Article 26 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 a (new)	Access to information and public participation in the permit procedure – NGOs	-	
38 : KRAHMER	Article 26 – paragraph 2	Deletion of exemptions	+	
289 : Brepoels	Article 26 – paragraph 2	Deletion of exemptions	+	
290 : Turmes	Article 26 – paragraph 2	Access to information and public participation in the permit procedure – Validated permit applications	-	This amendment is not understandable.
291 : Musacchio	Article 26 – paragraph 2	Access to information and public participation in the permit procedure – Validated permit applications	-	This amendment is not understandable.
39 : KRAHMER	Article 26 – paragraph 3 – introductory section	Update of a permit	+	The wording of the Commission is broader
292 : Hegyi	Article 26 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1	Access to information and public participation in the permit procedure – Accessible on the Internet	-	
293 : Musacchio	Article 26 – paragraph 3	Access to information and public participation in the permit procedure – Permanently available online	+	This provision already exists in national laws
40 : KRAHMER	Article 26 – paragraph 3 – letter e	General binding rules not to be declared	-	
294 : Hegyi	Article 26 – paragraph 3 – point e	Access to information and public participation in the permit procedure – Permit conditions instead of ELVs	+	

295 : Hegyi	Article 26 – paragraph 3 – point f	Access to information and public participation in the permit procedure – Specific reasons	+	
41 : KRAHMER	Article 26 – paragraph 3 – letter g	Reconsideration of permits	-	
296 : Brepoels	Article 29	Deletion of Article 29 Exchange of information	-	
297 : Hegyi	Article 29	Deletion of Article 29 Exchange of information	-	
298 : Musacchio	Article 29 – paragraph -1 (new)	Exchange of information - Available representative data	+	This provision already exists in national laws
299 : Turmes	Article 29 – paragraph -1 (new)	Exchange of information - Available representative data	+	This provision already exists in national laws
300 : Turmes	Article 29 – introductory part	Exchange of information - Equal share of representation	-	
301 : Musacchio	Article 29 – introductory part	Exchange of information - Equal share of representation	-	
302 : van Nistelrooij	Article 29 – introductory part	Exchange of information - Representatives of local and regional authorities	-	
303 : Blokland	Article 29 – introductory part	Exchange of information - Representatives of local and regional authorities	-	
304 : Krahmer	Article 29 – introductory part	Exchange of information – "Between the Commission and others instead of "with"		No comment
305 : Weisgerber et al.	Article 29 – introductory part	Exchange of information – "Between" the Commission and others instead of "with"		No comment
42 : KRAHMER	Article 29 – letter a	Exchange of information – Not only performances in general but BAT-AELs to be identified by the IEF		No comment
43 : KRAHMER	Article 29 – letter b	Exchange of information – Not only techniques in general but BATs to be identified by the IEF		No comment
306 : Krupa	Article 29 – point b	Best available techniques	-	
44 : KRAHMER	Article 29	Exchange of information : not only techniques and performances in general but BATs and BATAELs to be identified by the IEF		Article 29 must be understood as exchange of information not only on techniques in general but on techniques to be identified as BATs
45 : KRAHMER	Article 30	Emerging techniques	+	See amdt n° 8, 98 and 99

307 : Brepoels	Article 30 – paragraph 2 – Introductory part and paragraph 3	Guidelines designed to amend non-essential elements of this Directive	-	
...				
311 : Blokland	Article 31 – paragraph 2 – point j	Special provisions for combustion plants – Scope	+	YES to clarify plants covered by Chapter IV
312 : Blokland	Article 31 – paragraph 2 – point j a (new)	Special provisions for combustion plants – Inclusion of incineration or co-incineration plants	+	YES to include incineration or co-incineration plants
...				
333 : Blokland	Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1	Special provisions for waste incineration plants and waste co-incineration plants – Inclusion of fuels derived from waste	+	YES to include fuels derived from waste
334 : Ries	Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3 a (new)	Special provisions for waste incineration plants and waste co-incineration plants – Specific requirements for hazardous wastes		No comment
335 : Weisgerber	Article 38 – paragraph 2 – point a – subpoint i	Special provisions for waste incineration plants and waste co-incineration plants – Deletion of waste listed in point (b) of Article 3(21)	++	It is essential to have a biomass definition consistent with the Renewable Energy Sources Directive, but exclusions (hazardous wastes / SRF from biowaste) must be re-introduced in Chapter IV on incineration (see attached note on biomass)
336 : Willmott	Article 38 – paragraph 2 – point a – subpoint iii a (new)	Special provisions for waste incineration plants and waste co-incineration plants – Inclusion of tallow obtained from animal carcasses	-	NO to exclude tallow obtained from animal carcasses
337 : Seeber	Article 38 – paragraph 2 – point ba (new)	Co-incineration plants < 500 kW	-	NO to exclude co-incineration plants with a rated thermal capacity < 500 kW
338 : Seeber	Article 38 – paragraph 2 a (new)	Exclusion of thermal treatment of animal fat	-	NO to exclude thermal treatment of animal fat

339 : Blokland	Article 39 – point b	Applications for permits – Combined Heat and Power	-	No to pretend to a preference on some ways of using the recovered energy (CHP ie Combined Heat and Power, District Heating) over others (such as steam supply to an industry etc)
340 : Turmes	Article 39 – subparagraph d a (new)	Applications for permits – Minimum energy efficiency standards	-	NO to include energy efficiency standards which will not match with the lowest ELVS
341 : Scheele	Article 40 – paragraph 1 – point a	Permit conditions - List of all categories of waste	-	
342 : Musacchio	Article 40 – paragraph 1 – point a	Permit conditions - List of all categories of waste	-	
343 : Musacchio	Article 40 – paragraph 1 – point b	Permit conditions - Maximum yearly amount of waste	-	
344 : Seeber	Article 40 – paragraph 2 – point b	Waste co-incineration plants	-	
345 : Blokland	Article 41 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1	Control of emissions	++	YES to precise control of emissions
346 : Musacchio	Article 42	Breakdown – Information of the competent authority		No comment
48 : KRAHMER	Article 43 – paragraph 5	Continuous measurements of the emissions	-	"the competent authority" instead of "the Commission" would mean no level playing field
347 : Blokland	Article 45 – paragraph 4 – point c	Operating conditions	++	See amdt n° 345
348 : Scheele	Article 47 – paragraph 2	Determination of the mass of each category of waste, if possible	-	
349 : Seeber	Article 47 – paragraph 4 a (new)	Wastes which are incinerated in waste co-incineration plants	-	NO to include wastes which are incinerated in waste co-incineration plants, See amdt n° 527
350 : Seeber	Article 47 – paragraph 5	Exemptions to waste incineration plants or waste co-incineration plants	-	
351 : Seeber	Article 48 – paragraph 1	Residues treated	+	YES to a broader term "treated" instead of "recycled"
352 : Scheele	Article 48 – paragraph 3	Routes for the disposal or recycling of the residues appropriate tests	-	NO to delete the "heavy metals soluble fraction"

...				
49 : KRAHMER	Article 68	Commission to submit, to Parliament and Council, proposals to change conditions in Annexes of the Directive instead of Commission to do it alone	++	
370 : Turmes	Article 68 – paragraph -1 (new)	Amendments of Annexes	--	NO to amendments of Annexes directly by the Commission
371 : Turmes	Article 68 – paragraph -1	Amendments of Annexes	--	NO to amendments of Annexes directly by the Commission
372 : Hegyi	Article 68 – paragraph -1	Amendments of Annexes	-	NO to amendments of Annexes directly by the Commission
373 : Hegyi	Article 68 a (new)	Amendments of Annexes – ESN	-	NO to amendments of Annexes directly by the Commission
50 : KRAHMER	Article 69 a (new)	Involvement of interest groups	+	Important
374 : Krupa	Article 70	Polluter pay principle	-	
...				
381 : Musacchio	Article 73 – paragraph -4 – subparagraph 1	Delete extra delay for co-incinerators	+	
...				
391 : Scheele	Annex I – point 5.1. – point f	Categories of industrial activities referred to in Article 11 – Storage (D15, R13) with a total capacity exceeding 10 000 m³	-	
392 : Blokland	Annex I – point 5.2.	Categories of industrial activities referred to in Article 11 – Incineration and co-incineration of non-hazardous waste	++	
393 : Ferreira	Annex I – point 5.2.	Categories of industrial activities referred to in Article 11 – Incineration and co-incineration of non-hazardous waste	++	
394 : Blokland	Annex I – point 5.3.	Categories of industrial activities referred to in Article 11 – Deletion of the threshold of 50 t/d for disposal or recovery of non-hazardous waste	++	
395 : Seeber	Annex I – point 5.3. – point a	Categories of industrial activities referred to in Article 11 – Biological treatment (D8)	-	

396 : Seeber	Annex I – point 5.3. – point c	Categories of industrial activities referred to in Article 11 – Deletion of pre-treatment of waste for co-incineration	-	
397 : Seeber	Annex I – point 5.3. – point d	Categories of industrial activities referred to in Article 11 – Deletion of treatment of slags and ashes	-	
398 : Gardini et al.	Annex I – point 5.3. – point d	Categories of industrial activities referred to in Article 11 – Treatment of slags and ashes not covered	-	
399 : Weisgerber	Annex I – point 5.3. – point e	Categories of industrial activities referred to in Article 11 – Deletion of the treatment of scrap metal	-	
400 : Sinnott	Annex I – point 5.3. – point e	Categories of industrial activities referred to in Article 11 – Deletion of the treatment of scrap metal	-	
401 : Olajos	Annex I – point 5.3 – point e	Categories of industrial activities referred to in Article 11 – Deletion of the treatment of scrap metal	-	
402 : Seeber	Annex I – point 5.3. – point e	Categories of industrial activities referred to in Article 11 – Shredder facilities for scrap metal (R4, R12)	-	
403 : Gardini et al.	Annex I – point 5.3. – point e	Categories of industrial activities referred to in Article 11 – Treatment of scrap metal not covered	-	
404 : Sturdy	Annex I – point 5.3. a (new)	Categories of industrial activities referred to in Article 11 – Exclusion of biological and physico-chemical treatment processes for recovery of non-hazardous waste	-	
405 : Scheele	Annex I – point 5.4.	Categories of industrial activities referred to in Article 11 – Landfills with a total capacity exceeding 25000 tonnes, excluding landfills of inert waste (D1, D5, D12)	-	
...				
56 : KRAHMER	Annex III – paragraph 11 a (new)	Publication of information	-	NO without giving special consideration to the criteria listed in Annex III
...				

477 : Musacchio	Annex VI – Part 2 – table	Technical provisions relating to waste incineration plants and waste co-incineration plants – Equivalence factors for di-benzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans	-	
478 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 3 – point 1.1 – table – column 2 – row 4	Air ELVs for waste incineration plants	-	
479 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 3 – point 1.1 – table – row 6	Air ELVs for waste incineration plants	-	
480 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 3 – point 1.1 – table – row 7	Air ELVs for waste incineration plants	-	
481 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 3 – point 1.1 – table – row 7 a (new)	Air ELVs for waste incineration plants	-	
482 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 3 – point 1.1 – table – row 7 b (new)	Air ELVs for waste incineration plants	-	
483 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 3 – point 1.2 – table – column 2 – row 1	Air ELVs for waste incineration plants	-	
484 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 3 – point 1.2 – table – column 2 – row 3	Air ELVs for waste incineration plants	-	
485 : Musacchio	Annex VI – Part 3 – point 1.2 – table – column 2 – row 3	Air ELVs for waste incineration plants	-	
486 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 3 – point 1.2 – table – column 2 – row 5	Air ELVs for waste incineration plants	-	
487 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 3 – point 1.2 – table – row 4	Air ELVs for waste incineration plants	-	
488 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 3 – point 1.2 – table – row 7	Air ELVs for waste incineration plants	-	
489 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 3 – point 1.2 – table – row 7 a (new)	Air ELVs for waste incineration plants	-	
490 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 3 – point 1.2 – table – row 7 b (new)	Air ELVs for waste incineration plants	-	

491 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 3 – point 1.2 – table – row 7 c (new)	Air ELVs for waste incineration plants	-	
492 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 3 – point 1.5 a (new)	Air ELVs for waste incineration plants	-	
493 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 4 – point 2.1	Determination of air ELVs for the co-incineration of waste	-	
494 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 4 – point 2.2 – table – column 2 – row 1	Determination of air ELVs for the co-incineration of waste	-	
495 : Turmes	Annex VI – Part 4 – point 2.2 – table – column 2 – row 5	Determination of air ELVs for the co-incineration of waste	-	
496 : Blokland	Annex VI – Part 4 – point 2.3 – paragraph 1 a (new)	Determination of air ELVs for the co-incineration of waste	+	
497 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 4 – point 2.3 a (new)	Determination of air ELVs for the co-incineration of waste	-	
498 : Turmes	Annex VI – Part 4 – point 3.1 – introductory part	Determination of air ELVs for the co-incineration of waste	-	
499 : Blokland	Annex VI – Part 4 – point 3.1	Determination of air ELVs for the co-incineration of waste	-	
500 : Ehler	Annex VI – Part 4 – point 3.1 – table 1	Determination of air ELVs for the co-incineration of waste	-	
501 : Blokland	Annex VI – Part 4 – point 3.2	Determination of air ELVs for the co-incineration of waste	-	
502 : Turmes	Annex VI – Part 4 – point 3.2 – introductory part	Determination of air ELVs for the co-incineration of waste	-	
503 : Ehler	Annex VI – Part 4 – point 3.2.1 – table 1	Determination of air ELVs for the co-incineration of waste	-	
504 : Ehler	Annex VI – Part 4 – point 3.2.2 – table 1	Determination of air ELVs for the co-incineration of waste	-	
505 : Seeber	Annex VI – Part 4 – point 3 a (new)	Determination of air ELVs for the co-incineration of waste	-	
506 : Scheele	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.1 – point a	Monitoring of emissions	-	
507 : Weisgerber	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.5 – subparagraph 1	Monitoring of emissions	+	

508 : Ferreira	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.5 – subparagraph 1	Monitoring of emissions	+	
509 : Turmes	Annex VI – part 6 – point 2.5 – subparagraph 1	Monitoring of emissions	+	
510 : Blokland	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.5 – subparagraph 1	Monitoring of emissions	+	
511 : Seeber et al.	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.5 – subparagraph 1	Monitoring of emissions	-	
512 : Hegyi	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.5 – subparagraph 1	Monitoring of emissions	-	
513 : Weisgerber	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.5 – subparagraph 2	Monitoring of emissions	+	
514 : Ferreira	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.5 – sousparagraphe 2	Monitoring of emissions	+	Idem amdt n° 513
515 : Turmes	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.5 – subparagraph 2	Monitoring of emissions	+	Idem amdt n° 513
516 : Blokland	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.5 – subparagraph 2	Monitoring of emissions	+	
517 : Seeber et al.	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.5 – subparagraph 2	Monitoring of emissions	-	
518 : Hegyi	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.5 – subparagraph 2	Monitoring of emissions	-	
519 : Blokland	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.6	Monitoring of emissions	+	
520 : Ries	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.6 – introductory part	Monitoring of emissions	-	
521 : Musacchio	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.6 – introductory part	Monitoring of emissions	-	
522 : Turmes	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.6 – introductory part	Monitoring of emissions	-	

523 : Seeber	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.6 – point c	Monitoring of emissions	-	
524 : Hegyi	Annex VI – Part 6 – point 2.6 – point c a (new)	Monitoring of emissions	+	
525 : Blokland	Annex VI – Part 8 – point 1.1 – point d	Assessment of compliance with ELVs	+	
526 : Ferreira	Annex VI – Part 8 – point 1.1 – point d – subpoint i a (new)	Assessment of compliance with ELVs	+	
527 : Seeber	Annex VI – Part 8 a (new)	Assessment of compliance with ELVs	-	NO to include wastes which are incinerated in waste co-incineration plants, See amdt n° 347